

Cabinet



SOUTH
KESTEVEN
DISTRICT
COUNCIL



Tuesday, 2 February 2021 at 2.00 pm
Virtual Meeting - This meeting is being held remotely by
Skype for Business

Cabinet Members: Councillor Kelham Cooke, The Leader of the Council (Chairman)
Councillor Barry Dobson, The Deputy Leader of the Council (Vice-Chairman)

Councillor Annie Mason, Cabinet Member for Communities
Councillor Dr Peter Moseley, Cabinet Member for Commercial and Operations
Councillor Robert Reid, Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning
Councillor Adam Stokes, Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources
Councillor Rosemary Trollope-Bellew, Cabinet Member for Culture and Visitor Economy

Agenda

Accessing the Meeting

Councillors should access the meeting using the link in their calendar.

Members of the press and public can gain access to the meeting by using the following link:

[Cabinet - 2 February 2021](#)

If you are using a smartphone or tablet it may be necessary to download the Skype for Business app before you can enter the meeting; please allow yourself time to do this. If you are using a laptop or desktop computer, you should be able to access the meeting via your web browser.

When you follow the link to the meeting you will enter a virtual lobby; you will be invited into the meeting from the lobby just before the meeting begins. Members of the public should not use the Chat function in Skype for Business. This is for use by Councillors, who will use it to indicate that they would like to speak.

If you have any questions about how to join the meeting, e-mail democracy@southkesteven.gov.uk.

Urgent Items

Items which the Leader is of the opinion should be considered at the meeting as a matter of urgency

Published and despatched by democracy@southkesteven.gov.uk on Tuesday 2 February 2021.

☎ 01476 406080

Karen Bradford, Chief Executive

www.southkesteven.gov.uk

pursuant to Section 100(b)(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972 by reason of special circumstances.

10. Future High Street Fund

(Pages 3 - 16)

A report recommending the final options for the capital projects supported by the Future High Street Scheme in principle offer of up to £5,558,818

The urgency for this report is based upon the timescales available for resubmission of the application. A failure to respond by 26 February 2021 (at the latest) would see a withdrawal of the offer from MHCLG and the programme would not proceed.



**SOUTH
KESTEVEN
DISTRICT
COUNCIL**

Cabinet

2 February 2021

Report of: Councillor Barry Dobson

The Deputy Leader of the Council



Future High Street Fund

A report recommending the final options for the capital projects supported by the Future High Street Scheme in principle offer of up to £5,558,818

Report Author

Alison Berwick, Funding and Business Development Manager, InvestSK

Tel: 07816 444852

Email: alison.berwick@investsk.co.uk

Corporate Priority:	Decision type:	Wards:
Growth	Key	Grantham St Wulfram's

Reviewed by:	Jon Hinde, InvestSK Manager, InvestSK	28 January 2021
Approved by:	Karen Bradford, Chief Executive Officer	1 February 2021
Signed off by:	Councillor Barry Dobson, The Deputy Leader of the Council	1 February 2021

Recommendation (s) to the decision maker (s)

That Cabinet:

- Approves the preferred option (identified as 'option 1') as the final proposed scheme for the Future High Street Fund in principle offer of £5,558,818.**
- Delegates authority to the Chief Executive Officer and the Interim Director of Finance, to sign off the final report.**

1 The Background to the Report

- 1.1 The final Future High Street Fund Business Case was approved at the Cabinet meeting in June 2020 and the Full Council in July 2020. The final bid was submitted to MHCLG at the end of July 2020.
- 1.2 In September 2020 MHCLG initiated a Further Clarification Stage, which focused on the Benefit Cost Ratio calculations within the business cases. All 100 Future High Street bidders were asked to review these in line with MHCLG updated guidance.
- 1.3 Grantham's Further Clarification Stage was submitted in October. At this stage the funding request remained £8,041,845.
- 1.4 On 26 December 2020, Grantham was offered an in principle funding envelope of up to £5,558,818. This represents 69% of the original funding request.
- 1.5 The scheme was heavily oversubscribed. Only 15 areas were awarded their full funding request. 57 schemes, like Grantham received provisional funding of up to 69% of their original request and were asked to review their final schemes in light of the reduced offer. 28 applications were unsuccessful, receiving nothing.
- 1.6 MHCLG's review stage ends on 26 February 2021. During this time Grantham's Future High Street Fund scheme is to be reviewed and the final scheme element confirmed. The competitive part of the process is now over and the funding is available as long as the final scheme still meets MCHLG requirements.
- 1.7 The options given by MHCLG for schemes receiving a reduces offer are:
 - a) additional co-funding is added to made up the 31% shortfall
 - b) a project is eliminated
 - c) some projects can be scaled back.
- 1.8 With additional funding from MHCLG, the Future High Street Fund consultants were appointed to review options of how to achieve the £2.5m financial reduction in the scheme and still meet the MHCLG requirements.

2 Consultation and Feedback Received, Including Overview and Scrutiny

- 2.1 There is a short timescale to undertake this review. The consultants were appointed on 11 January and an InvestSK officer attended the MHCLG briefing, also on the 11 January, outlining the requirements for this stage.
- 2.2 The consultants options report was received on 22 January and InvestSK Board meeting reviewed the options on 26 January.
- 2.3 Following the Cabinet meeting an update has also been requested by the Finance, Economic Development and Corporate Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee on the 23 February 2021.
- 2.4 No further consultation and feedback are proposed before the final submission deadline to MHCLG on 26 February 2021.

3 Available Options Considered

- 3.1 The full Options Paper submitted to the InvestSK Board on 26 February is attached at Appendix 1 with an accompanying location map, Appendix 2.
- 3.2 Option 1 – **Original proposal with removal of St Peters Hill Gardens project and scaling back of Upper Floors:**
- 3.3 Station Approach Public Realm Improvements and Mixed-Use Development Stage 1, Conversion of Vacant Upper Floor Units to Residential, Public Realm Improvements to the Market Place, Removal of St Peter’s Hill Gardens project and a reduction in the number of conversions of vacant upper floor units to residential from 25 to 22.
- 3.4 Option 2 - Scaling back or loss of Station Approach Mixed Use Development but retain Station Approach public realm works and all other projects.
- 3.5 Option 3 - Proportionate Scaling back of all projects.
- 3.6 Option 4 - Larger Station Approach Scheme. Drop all other projects.

4 Preferred Option

The preferred option recommended by the consultants and supported by InvestSK Board members is Option 1.

5 Reasons for the Recommendation

- 5.1 The recommendation for the Cabinet is to support and approve Option 1. While it is regrettable to lose St Peter’s Hill Gardens from the Future High Street Fund scheme it will achieve £2.4m of the £2.5m funding reduction needed.
- 5.2 It also ensures the remaining Future High Street Scheme maximises the regeneration impact for Grantham. Transforming a key gateway for visitors into Grantham at the station and routes into the town.
- 5.3 The upper floor conversions are only reduced by a small number, from 25 to 22
- 5.4 The Market Place remains in the Future High Street Scheme, thus ensuring the impact of the Heritage Action Zone funding around the Market Place is not impacted.

6 Next Steps – Communication and Implementation of the Decision

- 6.1 The Cabinet decision on this report will be made available through the usual democratic processes.
- 6.2 The update on the Future High Street Scheme will also be taken to the Finance, Economic Development and Corporate Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee on the 23 February 2021
- 6.3 MHCLG’s final deadline for all revisions is 26 February. This stage of the MHCLG assessment is not competitive and final decisions will be announced in March 2020.

7 Financial Implications

- 7.1 The amended financial implications for this project are detailed in Appendix 1. Clarification is being sought from MHCLG that the Council, as the accountable body, can transfer the grant associated with the public realm works on Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) assets to LCC to complete the works as this will remove any potential VAT implications.
- 7.2 The cost for a Future High Street Manager and Project Officer has been capitalised and apportioned against the project interventions.
- 7.3 The Council's contribution towards this project is £379,092 of revenue cost which includes the cost of a full time Town Centre Manager, the establishment of a Town Team (or equivalent) and administrative costs. A proportion of this is to cover administration and overheads of InvestSK as the delivery body for the Future High Street Scheme, estimated at £75,596. This is envisaged as being met from existing InvestSK budgets over the 4 years of the programme.
- 7.4 The revenue costs do not include funding for street greening, public art, seating, urban lighting or wayfinding; all of which have been deemed as high priorities within the consultation process. The Council could apply for external funding for these costs, but at the present time the funding for these elements remains unresolved.
- 7.5 There will be on-going maintenance costs associated with the public realm works; once these costs have been established, they will be included in future financial proposals.
- 7.6 It is expected that certain elements of the schemes will be delivered by third parties. As the Council will be the accountable body for the grant, it is important to ensure that robust governance arrangements are in place with those third parties to ensure there are no increases in costs.

Financial Implications reviewed by: Richard Wyles, Interim Director of Finance

8 Legal and Governance Implications

- 8.1 Remain unchanged from the decisions at the SKDC Full Council July 2020

Legal Implications reviewed by: Shahin Ismail, Director of Law and Governance

9 Equality and Safeguarding Implications

- 9.1 Remain unchanged from the decisions at the SKDC Full Council July 2020

10 Risk and Mitigation

- 10.1 Remain unchanged from the decisions at the SKDC Full Council July 2020

11 Community Safety Implications

- 11.1 Remain unchanged from the decisions at the SKDC Full Council July 2020

12 How will the recommendations support South Kesteven District Council's declaration of a climate emergency?

- 12.1 Remain unchanged from the decisions at the SKDC Full Council July 2020

13 Other Implications (where significant)

13.1 Remain unchanged from the decisions at the SKDC Full Council July 2020

14 Appendices

14.1 Appendix 1 – Options paper

14.2 Appendix 2 – Alan Baxter Location Map

Report Timeline:	Date of Publication on Forward Plan (if required)	N/A
	Previously Considered by: Cabinet	16 June 2020
	Final Decision date	2 February 2020

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank