



**SOUTH  
KESTEVEN  
DISTRICT  
COUNCIL**

# Officer Delegated Decision

Date: 13 March 2023

Emma Whittaker – Assistant Director of  
Planning



## Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan: Examination Modifications and Referendum Decision

This report will consider the recommendations of the examination report following the independent examination of the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan.

<b>Decision made by:</b>	Emma Whittaker– Assistant Director of Planning
<b>Decision:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) To approve the proposed modifications to the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan, as set out in the Independent Examination report.</li> <li>2) To agree with the recommendation that the modified Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan can proceed to referendum, within the specified Neighbourhood area.</li> </ol>
<b>Date:</b>	13 March 2023
<b>Public or Exempt:</b>	Public
<b>Reasons for exemption:</b>	N/A
<b>Conflicts of interest:</b>	No
<b>Policy compliant:</b>	Yes – the Neighbourhood Plan (once modified) will meet the basic conditions to be in general conformity to the strategic policies of the adopted South Kesteven Local Plan (2020-2036)
<b>Delegation:</b>	Part 3(c), ‘Neighbourhood Planning’ paragraph 21(e) of South Kesteven District Councils Constitution gives authority to the Chief Executive, relevant Director, and any officers they authorise in writing, as being appropriately qualified and trained the authority to act in response to recommendations included within the Examiner’s Report and whether to modify the Plan or Referendum Area prior to submitting it for referendum following consultation with the relevant Cabinet Member and the local Ward Councillor(s).

### Contact

Jake Horton - Planning Policy Officer



01476 40 6466



[j.horton@southkesteven.gov.uk](mailto:j.horton@southkesteven.gov.uk)

## 1 The Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 To consider the recommendations of the examination report following the independent examination of the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan.

## 2 Comments of Consultees

- 2.1 Consultee comments on the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan can be found on the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan Webpage - <http://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=15843>

## 3 Available Options Considered

- 3.1 **A)** That the recommendations of the examination report following the Independent Examination of the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan are **accepted** and the Neighbourhood Plan, as modified by the Independent Examiner, should proceed to a referendum within the specified Neighbourhood area.
- B)** That the recommendations of the examination report following the independent examination of the Caythorpe, and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan Neighbourhood Plan are **not accepted**, and the authority propose to make a decision which differs from that recommended by the Independent Examiner.

## 4 Preferred Option

- 4.1 **A)** That the recommendations of the examination report following the Independent Examination of the Caythorpe, and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan are **accepted** and the Neighbourhood Plan, as modified by the Independent Examiner, should proceed to a referendum within the specified Neighbourhood area.

## 5 Reasons for the Decision (s)

- 5.1 The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan has been produced by The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan working group (on behalf of Caythorpe and Frieston Parish Council, as the qualifying body) in conjunction with the local community. The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan sets out a number of policies as determined by the local community, following appropriate consultation which include the following topics:

- *Location and scale of new housing and Housing mix*
- *Design criteria for new houses and extensions to existing buildings*
- *The historical environment*
- *Landscape and the natural environment*
- *Existing open spaces & recreation facilities*
- *Identified local green spaces*
- *Village centre and community infrastructure*
- *Transport*

- *Countryside access and rights of way*
- *Digital connectivity*
- *Business and employment*

A full list of polices and their subsequent background documentation can be found in **Appendix 1**

- 5.2 The Examination version of the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan was submitted to South Kesteven District Council (SKDC) on the 23rd June 2022. Following initial [verification checks](#), a six-week statutory consultation period was held between the 14th September 2022 and the 26th October 2022. This exercise generated comments from a range of statutory organisations which are listed below;
- *Lincolnshire Integrated Care Board*
  - *Highways England*
  - *Historic England*
  - *Canal and River Trust*
  - *Environment Agency*
  - *Upper Witham Internal Drainage Board*
  - *National Grid*
  - *Sports England*
  - *South Kesteven District Council*
- 5.3 South Kesteven District Council (SKDC) in consultation with The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan working group (on behalf of Caythorpe and Frieston Parish Council), appointed Andrew Ashcroft B.A., M.A, M.R.T.P.I. as the Independent Examiner for the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan. All representations received on the Examination version of the Neighbourhood Plan were forwarded to the Independent Examiner for consideration.
- 5.4 It is the role of the Independent Examiner to consider whether a Neighbourhood Plan meets the Basic Conditions as set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as applied to neighbourhood plans by section 38A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004). In order to meet these basic conditions the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan must;
- Have regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State
  - Contribute to the achievement of sustainable development
  - Be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the development plan for the area; and
  - Be compatible with European Union obligations and not breach, nor be in anyway incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights

- 5.5 The Independent Examiner, after reading the representations received on the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan, decided that the issues raised in the representations did not require a public hearing and could be examined under written representations.
- 5.6 The Independent Examiner's report into the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan was received on the 14 February 2023, following a draft 'fact check' version which was made available for review by SKDC and The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan working group (on behalf of Caythorpe and Frieston Parish Council). In conclusion, subject to the recommended modifications, the Independent Examiner found that the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan meets the basic conditions in terms of:
- having appropriate regard to national planning policy
  - contributing to the achievement of sustainable development
  - being in general conformity with the strategic policies in the development plan for the local area
  - being compatible with Human Rights requirements
  - being compatible with European Union obligations
- 5.7 The full findings of the examination are set out in the Independent Examiners report (A link to which can be found in **Appendix 2**)
- 5.8 A schedule of the Independent Examiner's recommendations is set out at **Appendix 3**. The main changes recommended by the Independent Examiner (in order to meet the Basic Conditions) involve amendments to the wording of specific policies, maps and supporting text within the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan document. These modifications also ensure that the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan takes the form of a 'user-friendly' document which will be usable by the Local Planning Authority (in this case SKDC) when determining planning applications within the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Area.
- 5.9 It is considered that the recommended modifications should be approved, as to ensure that the Plan meets the basic conditions as set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as applied to Neighbourhood Plans by section 38A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.
- 5.10 On this basis, the Independent Examiner also recommended to SKDC that, subject to the modifications proposed, the Plan should proceed to a Referendum. Again, it is recommended that the Council accepts this recommendation from the Independent Examiner.
- 5.11 The Independent Examiner is also tasked with considering whether the Referendum Area should be extended beyond the designated Neighbourhood Area (In this case the Caythorpe and Frieston Parish area). It was not recommended that the area be extended in this instance.
- 5.12 In accordance with the scheme of delegation, the Portfolio Holder and relevant Ward Members have been consulted upon the findings of the examination report.

### **Next Steps**

- 5.13 The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended) sets out that once SKDC has considered the Independent Examiner's report and recommendations, it has to publish a 'Decision Statement' on Neighbourhood Plan as soon as is reasonably possible and set out the reasons for making that decision. It is normal practice for the date of the Referendum to also be specified. Once the decision notice has been issued the proposed modifications (if agreed) will then be made to the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan ready for publication as one of the specified documents in respect of the Referendum.
- 5.14 Once a referendum date is set, the final version of the Neighbourhood Plan and other relevant referendum documents must be published at least 28 working days before the referendum date. SKDC will also need to ensure that the publication of a 'notice of referendum' takes place at least 25 working days before the referendum. The detailed requirements in respect of the referendum process are set out in the Neighbourhood Planning (referendums) Regulations 2012 (as amended).
- 5.15 The referendum will follow a similar format to an election. All those registered to vote within the Neighbourhood Area will be given the opportunity to be involved in the referendum. Local residents will receive a ballot paper with the question (the wording of which is specified in the Regulations) 'Do you want South Kesteven District Council to use the neighbourhood plan for Caythorpe and Frieston to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?' Residents will be given the opportunity to vote 'yes' or 'no'. If more than 50% of those voting in the referendum vote 'yes' then the Local Planning Authority is required to 'make' the Neighbourhood Plan (i.e. bring it into force).
- 5.16 As referenced in Article 4 of the SKDC constitution, the 'making' of The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan must be a decision undertaken by Full Council, as it is a 'Development Policy Document' making up part of the wider Development Framework for SKDC.
- 5.17 If the result of the Referendum is "no", then SKDC takes no further action, although Caythorpe and Frieston Parish Council (as the Qualifying Body) have the right to decide what it wishes to do, i.e. re-draft the Neighbourhood Plan or not to proceed at all with the Neighbourhood Plan.

### **Conclusion**

- 5.18 It is concluded that the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan meets the requirements set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, provided that the recommended modifications in the Independent Examination report are accepted.
- 5.19 It is concluded that (provided the modifications are incorporated) the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan should proceed to referendum and that the referendum area should not be extended beyond the Parish Area of Caythorpe and Frieston.
- 5.20 SKDC has concluded, after undertaking its own assessment of the Independent Examination recommendations (in consultation with the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan working group on behalf of Caythorpe and Frieston Parish Council,) that there no reason to not accept the proposed recommendations, or to make a decision which differs from that of the Independent Examination report.

- 5.21 If the modifications are accepted, Planning Policy officers will liaise with Democratic Services regarding the referendum.

## **6 Financial Implications**

- 6.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report. In line with Government practice, the costs of supporting the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan through examination and referendum can be met from government funding.

Financial Implications reviewed by: **Alison Hall-Wright, Assistant Director of Finance**

## **7 Legal and Governance Implications**

- 7.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from this report. The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared, consulted, and examined in line with legislative requirements, in particular the Neighbourhood Plan (General) regulations 2012 and the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Legal Implications reviewed by: **Mandy Braithwaite, Legal Executive**

## **8 Equality and Safeguarding implications**

- 8.1 The Neighbourhood Plan was prepared by the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan working group (on behalf of Caythorpe and Frieston Parish Council as the qualifying body), as such it is not the responsibility of South Kesteven District Council to undertake an Equalities Impact Assessment. However, the Neighbourhood Plan is required to be in 'general conformity' with the South Kesteven Local Plan (as required by the Town & County Planning Act 1990), which has been subject to its own [Equalities Impact Assessment](#)

- 8.2 There are no safeguarding implications arising from the report.

## **9 How will the recommendations support South Kesteven District Council's declaration of a 'climate' emergency?**

- 9.1 The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan has within it a community objective (**objective 6**) which aims to reduce the carbon footprint of the parish area by encouraging energy efficient buildings, charging points for electric vehicles, the use of public transport and tree planting while also ensuring that routes for cyclists and pedestrians are protected and enhanced.
- 9.2 The Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan also has within it a number of policies which aim to encompass the environmental requirements of sustainable development, as referenced within the Independent Examination Report.

## 10 Appendices

### Appendix 1 – List of Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan Policies

Policy Code	Policy Name
Policy 1	Location and Scale of New Housing
Policy 2	Housing Mix
Policy 3	Design Criteria for New Housing
Policy 4	Extension to existing buildings
Policy 5	The Historical Environment
Policy 6	Landscape and Natural Environment
Policy 7	Existing Open spaces and Recreational Facilities
Policy 8	Local Green Spaces
Policy 9	Village Centre and Community Infrastructure
Policy 10	Transport
Policy 11	Countryside Access and Right of Way
Policy 12	Digital Connectivity
Policy 13	Business and Employment

### Appendix 2 - Background Papers

The Localism Act 2011 - <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents>

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012-  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/637/contents/made>

The Neighbourhood Planning (Referendum) Regulations 2012-  
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukdsi/2012/9780111525050/contents>

Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan - Submission Plan -  
<https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=28366&p=0>

Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan - Basic Conditions Statement -  
<https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=28367&p=0>

Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan - Consultation Statement -  
<https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=28369&p=0>

Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan - Map of NP Area -  
<https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=28371&p=0>



**Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan - SEA/HRA Screening Report -**  
<https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=28368&p=0>

**Caythorpe and Frieston NP – Examination Report-**  
<https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=29131&p=0>

Additional background information on the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan can be found in the “background Documents” section on the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan webpage - <http://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=15843>



### Appendix 3 – Recommended changes to the Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan submission version

Policy Reference	Recommended Change	Response	Reasoning
Policy 1	<p>Delete criteria C and D</p> <p>In E replace ‘Development’ with ‘Any new development’</p>	Accepted	<p>Parts of the policy criteria would not be defined as ‘infill development’ as anticipated by the policy, therefore these have been removed</p> <p>Text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF and to ensure the language is consistent with that used elsewhere in the policy</p>
Policy 2	<p>Delete the opening element of the policy</p> <p>Replace part A of the policy with: ‘Proposals for housing development that meet the requirements of Policy 1 should include at least 75% of 2- and 3-bedroom dwellings, and the resulting schemes and their layouts should respond positively to the character of the immediate locality.</p> <p>In part B delete ‘to rent or affordable home ownership’</p>	Accepted	<p>Parts of the policy have been removed as they add no value to the specific elements of the policy</p> <p>Text has been replaced to take account of the NPPF’s definition of affordable housing, which includes both affordable rent and ownership, in addition to other types of affordable housing</p>
Policy 3	<p>Replace the policy with:</p> <p>‘Development proposals for new houses should incorporate high quality and distinctive solutions which take account of their location in the neighbourhood area. In particular, development proposals should:</p> <p>(A) Respond positively to the technology for the delivery of energy efficient buildings;</p> <p>(B) Enhance the character of their surroundings, particularly if they are within or visible from the conservation areas;</p> <p>(C) Respect the height of surrounding houses;</p>	Accepted	<p>The policy has been recast so that it makes an initial statement about the need for high quality and distinctive solutions. The policy has also become more general in its application and references to none planning related issues have been removed.</p>

	<p><b>(D) Incorporate boundaries that are appropriate for their location; (E) Have driveways of permeable material;</b></p> <p><b>(F) Incorporate trees on the site where space permits; and</b></p> <p><b>(G) Have two off-road parking spaces and incorporate a charging point for electric vehicles.'</b></p>		
Policy 4	<p><b>Replace the second part of the policy with:</b></p> <p><b>'The incorporation of sustainable design features such as sustainable drainage, porous/permeable surfacing for drives and domestic-scale renewable energy into extensions will be supported where they are sensitively incorporated into the overall design'</b></p>	<b>Accepted</b>	Text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF
Policy 5	<p><b>Replace the opening element of the policy with:</b></p> <p><b>'Development proposals affecting designated heritage assets or their setting should take account of Local Plan Policy EN6 and should preserve or enhance the asset in question and contribute to the quality and character of its setting. Proposals which sensitively promote and interpret heritage assets will be supported where they respond positively to the following local factors:'</b></p> <p><b>Replace C with:</b></p> <p><b>'Development proposals affecting listed buildings and buildings of historical significance outside the conservation areas (as detailed in [insert details]) should safeguard the integrity and the setting of the asset concerned. Proposed alterations should be sympathetic both to the building concerned and to its setting.'</b> Delete Part E of the policy. Delete the final element of the policy</p>	<b>Accepted</b>	<p>Parts of the policy have been combined to provide a broader context to the specific policy criteria. In addition, text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF</p> <p>Specifically, Part E of the policy has been deleted and repositioned into the supporting text as It explains how the policy will be applied rather than functioning as policy</p>

	<p><u>Supporting text modifications</u>  <i>Include the details of the local heritage assets (as referenced in part C of the policy) in either the supporting text and/or in an appendix. At the end of the Explanation add: 'This policy also overlaps with the Plan's approach towards important views and open spaces. In some cases, these elements of the Plan may include or relate to heritage assets'</i></p>		
Policy 6	<p><b>Delete the opening element of the policy.</b></p> <p><b>Replace B with: 'Development proposals should respond positively to the open farmland character of the parish. Proposals for new farm buildings, business units or tourism developments should be of a high standard of design and, where necessary, incorporate landscaping appropriate to its location.'</b></p> <p><b>Replace C with: 'Development proposals should respect the key views identified within the conservation area and the wider parish landscape and should not detract their significance. Proposals which would unacceptably impact on the identified key views will not be supported.'</b></p> <p><b>Replace D with: 'As appropriate to their scale, nature and location development proposals should incorporate any practicable measures which would benefit native flora and fauna.'</b></p> <p><u>Supporting text modifications</u>  <i>At the end of the supporting text add: 'Part D of the policy comments that development proposals should incorporate any practicable measures which would benefit native flora and fauna These include new woodland or hedgerow planting, more uncultivated field margins or land given up for a nature reserve.'</i></p>	Accepted	<p>Parts of the policy that add little value to the details in the four component parts of the policy have been removed.</p> <p>Parts of the policy have been modified to be more directly applicable to the development management process</p> <p>Text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF</p>

Policy 7	<p><b>In the opening element of the policy add ‘(as detailed in Appendix 4)’ after ‘and recreational facilities’</b>  <b>Replace the second part of the policy with:</b></p> <p><b>‘Development proposals which enhance or improve the identified open spaces and recreation facilities will be supported.</b></p> <p><b>Development proposals which would reduce the quality or quantity of an identified open space or recreation facility will only be supported if the existing facility is replaced at an equivalent or better quality and quantity, and in a suitable and accessible location.’</b> Replace the final part of the policy with:  <b>‘Enhancement proposals and the planting of additional trees in the smaller amenity green spaces (as shown in Appendix 6) will be supported.’</b></p>	Accepted	<p>Text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF.</p> <p>Parts of the policy have been reworded so that the second part of the policy is consistent with the wording in the first part of the policy.</p>
Policy 8	No Recommended Changes	N/A	
Policy 9	<p><b>Replace the policy with:</b></p> <p><b>‘The Plan identifies the following important community facilities:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The Primary School;</b></li> <li>• <b>Caythorpe Convenience (Spar) Store and Post Office;</b></li> <li>• <b>The Waggon &amp; Horses and The Red Lion public houses;</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan – Examiner’s Report 21</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Caythorpe Surgery; and</b></li> <li>• <b>Caythorpe playing field and children’s playground.</b></li> </ul>	Accepted	<p>The order of the policy has been reversed so that it lists the facilities at the outset</p> <p>Text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF</p> <p>Part of the policy on proposals which would result in the loss of existing facilities has been reconfigured so that it clarifies only ‘one’ of the three exceptions needs to be met.</p>

	<p><b>Development proposals which would improve and/or extend an important community facility will be supported where their design and layout are appropriate to their surroundings.</b></p> <p><b>Development proposals for new community facilities will be supported where they are accessible to the community and their designs and layouts are appropriate to their surroundings.</b></p> <p><b>Insofar as planning permission is required, proposals that would result in the loss of an important community facility will not be supported unless:</b></p> <p><b>(A) alternative provision is made for equivalent or better facilities; or</b>  <b>(B) it can be demonstrated the service or facility is no longer economically viable; or</b>  <b>(C) it can be demonstrated that the facility concerned is no longer being used by the local community.'</b></p>		
Policy 10	<p><b>Delete part B of the policy</b></p> <p><u>Supporting text modifications</u>  <i>At the end of the first paragraph of supporting text add:  'The presence of large farms in the Parish generates traffic from mainstream agricultural activity and from diversification, whereby former agricultural units are used for business purposes. The presence of a large waste recycling plant and an outdoor activity centre, just to the east of Caythorpe village also creates pressure on the lanes and small rural roads. In addition, most of the roads within the villages are narrow and lack footpaths on one or both sides and are unsuitable to accommodate extra traffic.  Development proposals which would generate a significant amount of additional traffic Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan – Examiner's Report 22 and/or which would</i></p>	Accepted	Parts of the policy have been relocated into the supporting text as it is describing a process to be followed rather than setting out a policy.

	<i>generate HGV traffic should be supported by a Transport Assessment or Statement.'</i>		
Policy 11	<b>Replace 'or create obstacles to the use' with 'or detract from the attractiveness'</b>	<b>Accepted</b>	Part of the policy regarding obstacles has been replaced with a more general reference as any specific obstacles to footpaths would be a highways matter.
Policy 12	<b>Replace the policy with:</b>  <b>Replace the policy with: 'Development proposals that will improve broadband speeds and mobile phone signals will be supported, where the equipment involved is sited sensitively and does not have an unacceptable impact on the environment of the neighbourhood area'</b>	<b>Accepted</b>	Text has been modified to provide the clarity required by the NPPF  While it is considered that the retention of the traditional phone box in the village has considerable social merit, it has been removed due to it not being a land use planning matter
Policy 13	<b>In criterion B replace 'it is' with 'they are'</b>	<b>Accepted</b>	Amendment to part of the policy so that it reads in a consistent way with the plural nature of the preceding part of the policy.
<b>Non-Policy Recommended Changes</b>			
<b>General Modifications</b>	<i>Include the retention of the phone box as an addition bullet point in CA3</i>	<b>Accepted</b>	Retention of the community phone box is better placed as a community aspiration, rather than a land use policy.
<b>General Modifications</b>	<i>Modification of general text (where necessary) to achieve consistency with the modified policies</i>	<b>Accepted</b>	To allow for minor text amendments due to formatting or grammatical errors.
<b>Specific Modifications</b>	<i>Under the heading 'Caythorpe and Frieston in the National Planning Policy Framework and Local Plans' (page 3)</i>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Replace the last sentence of the second paragraph with: 'Grantham as a subregional centre – Stamford, Bourne and the Deepings as Market Towns and Caythorpe and Frieston Neighbourhood Plan – Examiner's Report 25 then fifteen larger</i></li> </ul>	<b>Accepted</b>	Factual modifications to the document.

	<p><i>villages have been identified as areas of growth and will accommodate development within the period covered by the Local Plan.'</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>• In the third paragraph/first sentence replace 'Caythorpe' with 'Caythorpe &amp; Frieston'</i></li><li><i>• Replace the second sentence of the third paragraph with: 'This is because no land was put forward for possible development at the time of the production of the Local Plan'</i></li><li><i>• In the fourth paragraph clarify that the commentary is from Policy SP3 of the Local Plan</i></li><li><i>• Include an additional paragraph after the fourth paragraph to read: 'Policy SP4 of the adopted Local Plan provides a context for new development on the edge of settlements. It comments that proposals for development on the edge of a settlement, as defined in Policy SP2, which are in accordance all other relevant Local Plan policies, will be supported.'</i></li></ul>		
--	---	--	--



## Appendix 4 – Timeline

<b>Report Timeline:</b>	Call-in deadline (where applicable)	Not applicable
	Date decision effective (subject to call-in)	Not applicable

**Signed by: Emma Whittaker**

**Date: 13<sup>th</sup> March 2023**